arXiv:1303.7298v1 [math.AC] 29 Mar 2013

GENERALIZED IDEAL TRANSFORMS

TRAN TUAN NAM AND NGUYEN MINH TRI

Abstract. We study basic properties of the generalized ideal transforms $D_I(M,N)$ and the set of associated primes of the modules $R^iD_I(M,N)$.

Key words: (generalized) local cohomology, (generalized) ideal transform, associated prime.
2000 Mathematics subject classification: 13D45.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R is a Noetherian commutative ring with non-zero identity and I is an ideal of R. In [6], Brodmann defined ideal transform $D_I(M)$ of an $R-$module M with respect to I by

$$D_I(M)=\varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^n,M).$$

Ideal transforms turn out to be a powerful tool in various fields of commutative algebra and they are closed to local cohomology modules of Grothendieck.

In [11], Herzog introduced the definition of generalized local cohomology modules which is an extension of local cohomology modules of Grothendieck. The i-th generalized local cohomology module of modules M and N with respect to I was given as

$$H^i_I(M,N)=\varinjlim_n \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M/I^nM,N).$$

A natural way, we have a generalization of the ideal transform. In [10], the generalized ideal transform functor with respect to an ideal I is defined by

$$D_I(M,-)=\varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,-).$$

This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED).

Also in [10] they used the generalized ideal transforms to study the cofiniteness of generalized local cohomology modules. Let $R^iD_I(M,-)$ denote the i-th right derived functor of $D_I(M,-)$. It is clear that

$$R^iD_I(M,-) \cong \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(I^nM,-)$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In the next section we study basic properties of the generalized ideal transform functor $D_I(M,-)$ and its right derived functors $R^iD_I(M,-)$. The first result is Theorem 2.1 which says that if M is a finitely generated R-module and N is an I-torsion R-module, then $R^iD_I(M,N)=0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Next, Theorem 2.7 gives us isomorphisms $D_I(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)) \cong D_I(M,N)$ and $D_{aR}(M,N) \cong D_{aR}(M,N)_a$. In Theorem 2.10 we see that the module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M,N))$ is a finitely generated R-module provided the modules $R^iD_I(M,N)$ are finitely generated for all $i < t$. The section is closed by Theorem 2.12 which shows the Artinianness of the modules $R^iD_I(M,N)$.

The last section is devoted to study the set of associated primes $\operatorname{Ass}(R^iD_I(M,N))$. Theorem 3.3 shows that if M is a finitely generated R-module and N is a weakly Laskerian R-module, then $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ and $\operatorname{Ass}(R^iD_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ are finite sets for all $i \geq 0$. Finally, Theorem 3.5 gives us two interesting consequences about the finiteness of the sets $\operatorname{Ass}(R^tD_I(M,N))$ (Corollary 3.6) and $\operatorname{Supp}_R(R^tD_I(M,N))$ (Corollary 3.7).

2. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES OF GENERALIZED IDEAL TRANSFORMS

An $R-$module N is called I-torsion if $\Gamma_I(N) \cong N$. We have the first following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an I-torsion R-module. Then $R^iD_I(M,N)=0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. We first prove $D_I(M,N)=0$.

Consider the n-th injection $\lambda_n : \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N) \to \bigoplus \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N)$ and the homomorphisms $\varphi^i_j : \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^iM,N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^jM,N)$ such that $\varphi^i_j(f_i) = f_i|_{I^jM}$ for all $i \leq j$.

Let S be an R-submodule of $\bigoplus \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N)$ which is generated by elements $\lambda_j\varphi^i_j(f_i) - \lambda_i f_i$, $f_i \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^iM,N)$ and $i \leq j$. Then

$$\varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N) = \big(\bigoplus \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N)\big)/S.$$

For any $u \in D_I(M,N) = \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,N)$, we have $u = \lambda_t f_t + S$, where $f_t \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^tM,N)$.

Since I^tM is a finitely generated R-module and N is an I-torsion R-module, there exists a positive integer p such that $\varphi^t_{p+t}(f_t) = 0$.

It follows from [17, 2.17 (ii)] that $u = 0$ and then $D_I(M,N) = 0$.

The proof will be complete if we show $R^iD_I(M,N) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

As N is I-torsion, there is an injective resolution $E^\bullet$ of N such that each term of the resolution is an I-torsion R-module. By the above proof, we have $\varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^nM,E^i) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore $R^iD_I(M,N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an R-module such that $D_I(N) = 0$. Then $R^iD_I(M,N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. We consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_I(N) \to N \to D_I(N) \to H^1_I(N) \to 0$$

From the hypothesis, we have $\Gamma_I(N) \cong N$ that means N is I-torsion. From 2.1 we have the conclusion. □

The following lemmas will be used to prove the next propositions.

Lemma 2.3. ([10, 2.2]) *Let M, N be R-modules. Then, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \to H^0_I(M,N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \to D_I(M,N) \to H^1_I(M,N) \to \cdots$$

$$\cdots H^i_I(M,N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,N) \to R^iD_I(M,N) \to H^{i+1}_I(M,N) \to \cdots$$

Moreover, if $\operatorname{pd}(M) < \infty$*, then* $R^iD_I(M,N) \cong H^{i+1}_I(M,N)$ *for all* $i \geq \operatorname{pd}(M) + 1$.

Lemma 2.4. ([5, Theorem 1]) *The following conditions on an R-module M are equivalent:*

- (i) *M admits a resolution by finitely generated projectives;*
- (ii) *The functors $\operatorname{Ext}^n_R(M,-)$ preserve direct limits for all n;*
- (iii) *The functors $\operatorname{Tor}^R_n(-,M)$ preserve products for all n.*

The following lemma shows some basic properties of generalized ideal transforms that we shall use.

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an R-module. Then*

- (i) *$D_I(M,N)$ is an I-torsion-free R-module;*
- (ii) *$R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N))$ for all $i \geq 0$;*

(iii) $R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,D_I(N))$ *for all* $i \geq 0$*;*

(iv) $D_I(D_I(M,N)) \cong D_I(M,N)$*;*

(v) $D_I(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,D_I(N))$.

Proof. (i) We have by 2.4

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_I(D_I(M,N)) &= \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^n, D_I(M,N))\\ &\cong \varinjlim_n \varinjlim_t \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^n, \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^tM,N))\\ &\cong \varinjlim_n \varinjlim_t \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^n \otimes I^tM,N)\\ &\cong \varinjlim_t \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^tM, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I^n,N))\\ &\cong \varinjlim_t \operatorname{Hom}_R(I^tM, \Gamma_I(N))\\ &\cong D_I(M,\Gamma_I(N)).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma_I(N)$ is an I-torsion R-module and from 2.1, we get $D_I(M,\Gamma_I(N)) = 0$. Thus $D_I(M,N)$ is an I-torsion-free R-module.

(ii) The short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_I(N) \to N \to N/\Gamma_I(N) \to 0$$

deduces the long exact sequence

$$0 \to D_I(M,\Gamma_I(N)) \to D_I(M,N) \to D_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N)) \to \cdots$$

$$\cdots \to R^iD_I(M,N) \to R^iD_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N)) \to R^{i+1}D_I(M,\Gamma_I(N)) \cdots$$

Then $R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N))$ for all $i \geq 0$, as $R^iD_I(M,\Gamma_I(N)) = 0$.

(iii) The short exact sequence

$$0 \to N/\Gamma_I(N) \to D_I(N) \to H^1_I(N) \to 0$$

deduces a long exact sequence

$$0 \to D_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N)) \to D_I(M,D_I(N)) \to D_I(M,H^1_I(N)) \to \cdots$$

$$\to R^iD_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N)) \to R^iD_I(M,D_I(N)) \to R^iD_I(M,H^1_I(N)) \to$$

As $R^iD_I(M,H^1_I(N)) = 0$, $R^iD_I(M,N/\Gamma_I(N)) \cong R^iD_I(M,D_I(N))$ for all $i \geq 0$.

(iv) We have

$$\begin{aligned} D_I(D_I(M,N)) &= \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n, D_I(M,N)) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_n \varinjlim_t \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n, \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^tM,N)) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_n \varinjlim_t \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n \otimes I^tM, N) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_t \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^tM, \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n,N)) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_t \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^tM, D_I(N)) \\ &\cong D_I(M, D_I(N)) \cong D_I(M,N). \end{aligned}$$

(v) From 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_I(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)) &= \varinjlim_n Hom_R(I^n, \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)) \\ &\cong \varinjlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n,N)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, D_I(N)) \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

If $f : N \to N^{'}$ is an R-module homomorphism such that $\mathrm{Ker}f$ and $\mathrm{Coker}f$ are both I-torsion R-modules, then $R^iD_I(N) \cong R^iD_I(N^{'})$ for all $i \geq 0$ (see [6]). We have a similar property in the case of generalized ideal transforms.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $f : N \to N^{'}$ be an R-module homomorphism such that* $\mathrm{Ker}f$ *and* $\mathrm{Coker}f$ *are both I-torsion R-modules. Then*

$$R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,N^{'})$$

for all non-negative integer i.

Proof. Two short exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}f \to N \to \mathrm{Im}f \to 0$$

$$0 \to \mathrm{Im}f \to N^{'} \to \mathrm{Coker}f \to 0$$

deduce two long exact sequences

$$0 \to D_I(M,\mathrm{Ker}f) \to D_I(M,N) \to D_I(M,\mathrm{Im}f) \to R^1D_I(M,\mathrm{Ker}f)\cdots$$

$$0 \to D_I(M,\mathrm{Im}f) \to D_I(M,N^{'}) \to D_I(M,\mathrm{Coker}f) \to R^1D_I(M,\mathrm{Im}f)\cdots$$

Since $\mathrm{Ker}f$ and $\mathrm{Coker}f$ are both I-torsion R-modules, $R^iD_I(M,\mathrm{Ker}f) = 0$ and $R^iD_I(M,\mathrm{Coker}f) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence $R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,\mathrm{Im}f)$ and $R^iD_I(M,\mathrm{Im}f) \cong R^iD_I(M,N^{'})$. Finally, we get $R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,N^{'})$ for all $i \geq 0$. □

Let N_a denote the localization of N respect to the multiplicatively closed subset $S = \{a^i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an R-module. Then*

(i) $D_I(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)) \cong D_I(M,N)$;

(ii) *If $I = aR$ is a principal ideal of R, then*

$$D_{aR}(M,N) \cong D_{aR}(M,N)_a.$$

Proof. (i). The long exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_I(M,N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) \to D_I(M,N) \xrightarrow{f} H^1_I(M,N) \to \cdots$$

deduces an exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_I(M,N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) \to D_I(M,N) \to \mathrm{Im} f \to 0.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Im} f$ is an R-submodule of $H^1_I(M,N)$, then $\mathrm{Im} f$ is an I-torsion R-module.

Since $\Gamma_I(M,N)$ and $\mathrm{Im} f$ are both I-torsion R-modules, there are isomorphisms

$$D_I(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N)) \cong D_I(D_I(M,N)) \cong D_I(M,N).$$

(ii). From 2.5 we have $D_I(M,N) \cong D_I(M, D_I(N))$. We now consider the module $D_I(M, D_I(N))$. Since $I = aR$ is a principal ideal, it follows $D_I(N) \cong N_a$. As $I^n M$ is finitely generated, we have by [17, 3.83]

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n M, N \otimes S^{-1}R) \cong S^{-1}R \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n M, N).$$

It follows

$$\varinjlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n M, N \otimes S^{-1}R) \cong \varinjlim_n S^{-1}R \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_R(I^n M, N).$$

Hence

$$D_I(M, D_I(N)) \cong S^{-1}R \otimes D_I(M,N).$$

Finally, we get $D_{aR}(M,N) \cong D_{aR}(M,N)_a$. □

If E is an injective R-module, then $\Gamma_I(E)$ is also injective and $H^1_I(E) = 0$. Hence, the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_I(E) \to E \to D_I(E) \to 0$$

is split. It implies that $D_I(E)$ is an injective R-module.

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module, N an R-module and $J^\bullet$ an injective resolution of N. Then*

$$R^i D_I(M,N) \cong H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(M, D_I(J^\bullet))).$$

Proof. Combining 2.7 with 2.5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} R^iD_I(M,N) &= H^i(D_I(M,J^\bullet)) \\ &\cong H^i(D_I(\mathrm{Hom}(M,J^\bullet))) \\ &\cong H^i(\mathrm{Hom}(M,D_I(J^\bullet))) \end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Next, we study the finiteness of generalized ideal transforms which relates to generalized local cohomology modules.

Proposition 2.9. *Let M, N be two finitely generated R-modules and i a positive integer. Then $H^i_I(M,N)$ is finitely generated if and only if $R^{i-1}D_I(M,N)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. Since M, N are finitely generated R-modules, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,N)$ is also a finitely generated R-module for all $i \geq 0$. By 2.3 we have the conclusion. □

Theorem 2.10. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an R-module. If t is a non-negative integer such that $R^iD_I(M,N)$ is finitely generated for all $i < t$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M,N))$ is a finitely generated R-module.*

Proof. We use induction on t.

Let $t = 0$. We have $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, D_I(M,N)) = 0$, since $D_I(M,N)$ is I-torsion-free.

When $t > 0$, from 2.5, it follows

$$R^iD_I(M,N) \cong R^iD_I(M,D_I(N))$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

It is sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M,D_I(N)))$ is finitely generated.

Since $D_I(N)$ is an I-torsion-free R-module, there is a $D_I(N)$-regular element $x \in I$. Now the short exact sequence

$$0 \to D_I(N) \xrightarrow{x} D_I(N) \to \overline{D_I(N)} \to 0,$$

where $\overline{D_I(N)} = D_I(N)/xD_I(N)$ gives rise a long exact sequence

$$0 \to D_I(M,D_I(N)) \xrightarrow{x} D_I(M,D_I(N)) \to D_I(M,\overline{D_I(N)}) \cdots$$

$$\cdots R^{t-1}D_I(M,D_I(N)) \xrightarrow{h} R^{t-1}D_I(M,\overline{D_I(N)}) \xrightarrow{k} R^tD_I(M,D_I(N)) \cdots .$$

It induces a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Im}h \to R^{t-1}D_I(M,\overline{D_I(N)}) \to \mathrm{Im}k \to 0.$$

As $R^iD_I(M,D_I(N))$ is finitely generated for all $i < t$, $\mathrm{Im}h$ and

$R^iD_I(M, \overline{D_I(N)})$ are both finitely generated R-modules for all $i < t-1$.

By the inductive hypothesis, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R^{t-1}D_I(M, \overline{D_I(N)}))$ is finitely generated. Hence $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, \operatorname{Im}k)$ is finitely generated.

Next, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Im}k \to R^tD_I(M, D_I(N)) \xrightarrow{x} R^tD_I(M, D_I(N))$$

deduces a long exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, \operatorname{Im}k) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M, D_I(N))) \xrightarrow{x}$$

$$\xrightarrow{x} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M, D_I(N))) \to \cdots$$

As $x \in I$, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/I, R^tD_I(M, D_I(N)))$ is finitely generated. □

In [7, 2.5] Tang and Chu proved that if $H^r_I(M, R/\mathfrak{p})$ is Artinian for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(N)$ and $r \geq \operatorname{pd}(M)$, then $H^i_I(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq r$. We show a similar following proposition.

Proposition 2.11. *Let M, N be two finitely generated R-modules with $\operatorname{pd}(M) < \infty$. Assume that t is a positive integer such that $t > \operatorname{pd}(M)$.*

- (i) *If $R^tD_I(M, R/\mathfrak{p})$ is Artinian for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(N)$, then $R^iD_I(M, N)$ is also an Artinian R-module for all $i \geq t$.*
- (ii) *If $R^tD_I(M, R/\mathfrak{p})$ and $H^t_I(M, R/\mathfrak{p})$ are Artinian for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(N)$, then $\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N)$ is also an Artinian R-module for all $i \geq t$.*

Proof. (i). The proof of (i) is similar to that in the proof of [7, 2.5].

(ii). By 2.3 there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0_I(M, N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) \to D_I(M, N) \to H^1_I(M, N) \to \cdots$$

$$\cdots H^i_I(M, N) \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, N) \to R^iD_I(M, N) \to H^{i+1}_I(M, N) \to \cdots .$$

Thus the conclusion follows from (i) and [7, 2.5]. □

In the following theorem we study the Artinianness of modules $R^iD_I(M, N)$ when N is Artinian or finitely generated.

Theorem 2.12. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module.*

- (i) *If N is an Artinian R-module, then $R^iD_I(M, N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$.*
- (ii) *If N is a finitely generated R-module such that $p = \operatorname{pd}(M)$ and $d = \dim(N)$ are finite, then $R^{p+d}D_I(M, N)$ is an Artinian R-module.*

Proof. (i). It follows from [15, 2.6] that $H^i_I(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$. Thus the claim follows from the exact sequence of 2.3.

(ii). When $d = \dim(N) = 0$. It is clear that N is an Artinian R-module. Hence $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$.

By [2, 5.1], $H^i_I(M,N) = 0$ for all $i > \mathrm{pd}(M)$ and $H^p_I(M,N)$ is Artinian. Now we have the exact sequence by 2.3

$$\cdots R^{p-1}D_I(M,N) \to H^p_I(M,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}^p_R(M,N) \to R^pD_I(M,N) \to 0.$$

It follows that $R^pD_I(M,N)$ is an Artinian R-module.

Let $d = \dim(N) > 0$. Since $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,N) = 0$ for all $i > \mathrm{pd}(M)$,

$$R^{p+d}D_I(M,N) \cong H^{p+d+1}_I(M,N) = 0.$$

This finishes the proof. □

3. Associated primes of the modules $R^iD_I(M,N)$

To study some properties of associated primes of $R^iD_I(M,N)$ we recall the concepts of *weakly Laskerian* modules [9] and FSF modules [16]. An R-module M is called *weakly Laskerian* if the set of associated of prime ideals of any quotient module of M is finite. An R-module M is called a FSF module if there is a finitely generated submodule N of M such that the support of M/N is a finite set. Note that a module M is a weakly Laskerian module if and only if M is a FSF module (see [1, 2.5]).

Lemma 3.1 ([9]). (i) *Let* $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ *be a short exact sequence. Then* M *is weakly Laskerian if and only if* M' *and* M'' *are both weakly Laskerian.*

(ii) *If* M *is a finitely generated* R*-module and* N *is a weakly Laskerian, then* $\mathrm{Ext}^i_R(M,N)$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}^R_i(M,N)$ *are weakly Laskerian for all* $i \geq 0$.

(iii) *Artinian modules and finitely generated modules are weakly Laskerian modules.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let* M, N *be finitely generated* R*-modules. Then*

$$\mathrm{Ass}(D_I(M,N)) = (\mathrm{Supp}(M) \cap \mathrm{Ass}(N)) \setminus V(I).$$

Proof. It follows from [18, 3.1] that $\mathrm{Ass}(D_I(N)) = \mathrm{Ass}(N) \setminus V(I)$. Then we have by 2.7

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Ass}(D_I(M,N)) &= \operatorname{Ass}(D_I(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N))) \\ &= \operatorname{Ass}(\operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)) \setminus V(I) \\ &= (\operatorname{Supp}(M) \cap \operatorname{Ass}(N)) \setminus V(I)\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

It is well-known that, if M, N are finitely generated R-modules, then $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N)$ is Artinian for all $i \geq 0$ (see [8, 2.2]). It implies that $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ is a finite set. When N is a weakly Laskerian R-module we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N a weakly Laskerian R-module. If $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of R, then $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ and $\operatorname{Ass}(R^iD_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ are finite sets for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. As N is weakly Laskerian, there exists a finitely generated submodule L of N such that $\operatorname{Supp}(N/L)$ is a finite set. Then the short exact sequence

$$0 \to L \to N \to N/L \to 0$$

deduces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,L) \xrightarrow{f} H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \xrightarrow{g} H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N/L) \to \cdots$$

Since $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,L)$ is an Artinian R-module, $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,L))$ is a finite set and $\operatorname{Im}f$ is an Artinian R-module.

Note that $\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Im}g)$ is a finite set because

$$\operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Im}g) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N/L)) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(N/L).$$

From the long exact sequence, we obtain a short exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Im}f \to H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \to \operatorname{Im}g \to 0$$

which implies $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N)) = \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Im}f) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{Im}g)$. Thus $\operatorname{Supp}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ is a finite set and then $\operatorname{Ass}(H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N))$ is a finite set. We now consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N) \to D_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \to \cdots$$

$$\cdots \to \operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,N) \to R^iD_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \to H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(M,N) \to \cdots$$

Since $\operatorname{Ass}(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M,N))$ is a finite set, we have the conclusion. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let M be a finitely generated module and N a weakly Laskerian module over a local ring $(R,\mathfrak{m})$. If $\dim(N) \leq 2$, then $R^iD_I(M,N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. From 2.3 there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to H_I^0(M,N) \to \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,N) \to D_I(M,N) \to H_I^1(M,N) \to \cdots$$
$$\cdots H_I^i(M,N) \to \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M,N) \to R^iD_I(M,N) \to H_I^{i+1}(M,N) \to \cdots$$

If $dim(N) \leq 2$, then $H_I^i(M,N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i \geq 0$ by [12, 3.1]. Note that $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M,N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i \geq 0$. Therefore $R^iD_I(M,N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i \geq 0$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module and N an R-module. Then*

(i) *There is a Grothendieck spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathrm{Ext}_R^p(M, R^qD_I(N)) \underset{p}{\Longrightarrow} R^{p+q}D_I(M,N);$$

(ii) $\mathrm{Ass}_R(R^tD_I(M,N)) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{Ass}_R(E_{t+2}^{i,t-i})) \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}(M, R^tD_I(N)))$;

(iii) $\mathrm{Supp}_R(R^tD_I(M,N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \mathrm{Supp}_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, R^{t-i}D_I(N)))$.

Proof. (i). Let us consider functors $F(-) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(M,-)$ and $G(-) = D_I(-)$. The functor $F(-)$ is left exact. For any injective module E, $G(E)$ is also an injective module and then is right F-acyclic. On the other hand, there is a natural equivalence by 2.7, $D_I(M,-) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(M, D_I(-))$. Thus from [17, 11.38] we have the Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$E_2^{pq} = \mathrm{Ext}_R^p(M, R^qD_I(N)) \underset{p}{\Longrightarrow} R^{p+q}D_I(M,N).$$

(ii). From the spectral of (i) there is a finite filtration Φ of $R^{p+q}D_I(M,N)$ with

$$0 = \Phi^{t+1}H^t \subset \Phi^tH^t \subset \ldots \subset \Phi^1H^t \subset \Phi^0H^t = R^tD_I(M,N)$$

and

$$E_\infty^{i,t-i} = \Phi^iH^t/\Phi^{i+1}H^t, \text{ where } t = p+q,\ 0 \leq i \leq t.$$

Exact sequences for all $0 \leq i \leq t$

$$0 \to \Phi^{i+1}H^t \to \Phi^iH^t \to E_\infty^{i,t-i} \to 0$$

gives

$$\mathrm{Ass}(\Phi^iH^t) \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}(\Phi^{i+1}H^t) \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}(E_\infty^{i,t-i}).$$

We may integrate this for $i = 0, 1, ..., t$ to conclude that

$$\mathrm{Ass}(R^tD_I(M,N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \mathrm{Ass}_R(E_\infty^{i,t-i}).$$

We now consider homomorphisms of the spectral

$$E_{t+2}^{i-t-2,2t-i+1} \longrightarrow E_{t+2}^{i,t-i} \longrightarrow E_{t+2}^{i+t+2,-i-1}.$$

Note that $E_{t+2}^{i-t-2,2t-i+1} = E_{t+2}^{i+t+2,-i-1} = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., t$. It follows

$$E_{t+2}^{i,t-i} = E_{t+3}^{i,t-i} = ... = E_{\infty}^{i,t-i}.$$

In particular,

$$E_{\infty}^{0,t} = ... = E_{t+3}^{0,t} = E_{t+2}^{0,t} \subseteq E_{t+1}^{0,t} \subseteq ... \subseteq E_2^{0,t}.$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(R^t D_I(M,N)) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{Ass}_R(E_{t+2}^{i,t-i})) \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}(M, R^t D_I(N))).$$

(iii). Analysis similar to that in the proof of (ii) shows that

$$\mathrm{Supp}(R^t D_I(M,N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \mathrm{Supp}_R(E_{\infty}^{i,t-i})$$

and

$$E_{t+2}^{i,t-i} = E_{t+3}^{i,t-i} = ... = E_{\infty}^{i,t-i}.$$

Thus $E_{\infty}^{i,t-i}$ is a subquotient of $E_2^{i,t-i}$ and then

$$\mathrm{Supp}_R(E_{\infty}^{i,t-i}) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}_R(E_2^{i,t-i}) = \mathrm{Supp}_R(\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, R^{t-i} D_I(N)))).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module, N a weakly Laskerian R-module and t a non-negative integer. If $R^i D_I(N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i < t$, then $\mathrm{Ass}(R^t D_I(M,N))$ is a finite set.*

Proof. We have by 3.5

$$\mathrm{Ass}_R(R^t D_I(M,N)) \subseteq (\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{Ass}_R(E_{t+2}^{i,t-i})) \bigcup \mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}(M, R^t D_I(N))).$$

As $R^i D_I(N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i < t$, $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, R^{t-i} D_I(N))$ is also weakly Laskerian for all $1 \leq i < t$. Since $E_{t+2}^{i,t-i}$ is a subquotient of $E_2^{i,t-i} = \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(M, R^{t-i} D_I(N))$, $E_{t+2}^{i,t-i}$ is weakly Laskerian for all $1 \leq i < t$. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \mathrm{Ass}_R(E_{t+2}^{i,t-i})$ is finite. Note that $R^i D_I(N) \cong H_I^{i+1}(N)$ for $i > 0$. Thus $H_I^i(N)$ is weakly Laskerian for all $i < t+1$. By [3, 2.2], $\mathrm{Ass}_R(H_I^{t+1}(N))$ is finite and then $\mathrm{Ass}_R(\mathrm{Hom}(M, R^t D_I(N)))$ is finite. The proof is complete. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a finitely generated R-module, N an R-module and t a non-negative integer. If $\operatorname{Supp}_R(R^iD_I(N))$ is a finite set for all $i \leq t$, then $\operatorname{Supp}_R(R^tD_I(M,N))$ is also a finite set.*

Proof. It follows from 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Supp}_R(R^tD_I(M,N)) &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \operatorname{Supp}_R(\operatorname{Ext}^i_R(M, R^{t-i}D_I(N))) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{t} \operatorname{Supp}_R(R^{t-i}D_I(N)).\end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis we have the conclusion. □

References

[1] K. Bahmanpour, A. Khojali, "On the equivalent of FSF and weakly Laskerian classes", *arXiv:1108.4564v1*

[2] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, "A common generalization of local cohomology theories", *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 21 (1980), 174-181.

[3] M. P. Brodmann, "Finiteness of ideal transforms", *Journal of Algebra*, vol. 63, no. 1 (1980), 162-185.

[4] M. P. Brodmann, A. L. Faghani, "A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules". *Proc. AMS* 128(10) (2000), 2851-2853.

[5] K. S. Brown, "Homological criteria for finiteness", *Comment. Math. Helvetici* 50 (1975), 129 - 135.

[6] M. P. Brodmann, R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[7] L. Chu, Z. Tang, "On the Artinianness of generalized local cohomology", *Communications in Algebra*, 35 (2007), 3821-3827.

[8] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Sazeedeh, M. Tousi, "On Vanishing of generalized local cohomology modules", *Algebra Colloquium*, Vol.12, No. 2 (2005), 213-218.

[9] K. Divaani-Aazar, A. Mafi, "Associated primes of local cohomology modules", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133 (2004), 655-660.

[10] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Sazeedeh,"Cofiniteness of generalized local cohomology modules, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, vol. 99, no. 2, (2004), 283-290.

[11] J. Herzog, *Komplexe, Auflösungen und dualität in der localen Algebra*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Regensburg, 1970.

[12] N. V. Hoang, "On the associated primes and the support of generalized local cohomology modules", *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, Vol. 33, No 2 (2008), 163-171.

[13] A. Mafi, "On the associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules", *Communications in Algebra*, 34 (2006) 2489-2494.

[14] L. Melkersson, "On asymptotic stability for sets of prime ideals connected with power of an ideal", *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 107 (1990), 267 - 271.

[15] T. T. Nam, "On the top of generalized local cohomology modules", preprint.

[16] P. H. Quy, "On the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology modules", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol 138, No. 6 (2010), 1965-1968.
[17] J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press, 1979.
[18] P. Schenzel, "On connectedness and indecomposibility of local cohomology modules", *Manuscripta mathematica*, Vol 128, No. 3 (2009), 315-32.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS-INFORMATICS, HO CHI MINH UNIVERSITY OF PEDAGOGY, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIET NAM.
E-mail address: `namtuantran@gmail.com`

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL SCIENCE EDUCATION, DONG NAI UNIVERSITY, DONG NAI, VIET NAM.
E-mail address: `triminhng@gmail.com`